Members Present: Facilitator - J. Raglin
Bob Billingham, David Lohrmann, Sarah Young, Shu Cole, Kathleen Gilbert, Jerry Wilkerson,
Lynn Jamieson, Larry Fielding, Liz Shea

1. Approval of Agenda
   David Lohrmann – Motioned
   Sarah Young – 2nd
   Vote - Unanimous

2. Correction/Approval of Minutes from November 13, 2009
   Lynn Jamieson – moved
   Dave Lohrmann – 2nd
   Vote – Unanimous

   - Under new business on page 3 of the Dean’s Transition Committee Report, at the
     seventh bullet point, take out 10 year, so that it reads, “and tenured track professors”.
   - SEIF should be spelled CEPH
   - On page 2 under new business, the title should be Dean’s Transition Committee Report.
   - On second to last page, first bullet point should be Dean intends to send everything out,
     instead of sending.
   - Under new business on page four of the Dean’s Transition Committee Report, on the
     last bullet point, on the third line, “around name” should be removed.

3. Standing Committee Reports

   A. Undergraduate Studies Committee: Kathy Gilbert
      Most of meeting focused on the electronic submission of courses which will go on
to graduate courses, which will be different and will include a new form that will be?
used for new courses and course changes, and the big difference is that starting this
Spring, we are going to have to include among challenging and new things, teaching
and learning methods, learning outcomes and objectives and learning assessment for
the way in which we will assess that they have achieved learning outcomes in the
classes and that will be for courses regardless of the change and for any new courses
at the undergraduate level. This is in response to the assessment that the university
is undergoing.
We will also have a new student evaluation form. We will no longer have a customer satisfaction survey. They will actually be responding to questions about how well the course meets the learning outcomes.

Jerry Wilkerson stated that the purpose is to put together a rotation that corresponds with accreditation, so we are not reinventing the wheel. Documents will have to be submitted to the Dean and the Dean has to report to the Provost on every evaluation of every program.

Another thing that will be critical is the development of this data structure archives, so that it is accessible to everyone. Workshops will start in January.

B. Graduate Studies Committee: No Report

C. Budgetary Affairs Committee: No Report

D. Bloomington Faculty Council: Jack Raglin
Jack reported that at the November 17th meeting that there was discussion about nomenclature changes in the Bloomington Academic guide, such as changing from Chancellor to Vice Provost, etc.

Also they received the athletic director report from Fred Glass. Jack has a copy if anyone is interested in seeing it. He mentioned that Fred talked about how to open up classroom space and how HPER would probably be one of the ones who could utilize that space.

At the December 1st meeting they discussed the nomenclature changes again. They also discussed new language regarding the mid-term evaluations and withdrawals.

4. Old Business

A. Safety Major Change
At our last meeting Bob O’Loughlin discussed with us the title change from Safety Science to Safety and we also looked at the minor.

The Academic Council voted as follows: Lynn Jamieson motioned
Bob Billingham – 2nd
Vote: Unanimous

B. HPER Promotion Procedures – (Tabled)
C. SPH Graduate Degrees – Jack Raglin
Jack indicated that we need to think about agreeing to the fact that these degrees are appropriate, then come the challenge of how we are going to organize the school in units to do this and how these degree programs will be managed.

Lynn Jamieson suggested that we not consider any assignment of individuals. Jack also indicated that topics for MPH degrees need to be determined.

D. Cluster/Department Reorganization
There is a concern about the budget. Liz Shea indicated that the clusters are difficult because they are splitting their department in half and the thought of splitting is a little difficult.

Also there are concerns about our discipline as Kinesiology. When a department is split, does the discipline still exist? If there is a split, who will be in that department and what happens to the faculty not in that department? Does that take them out of their discipline?

The department of Kinesiology also feels that they just do not have enough information to make an endorsement. A list of suggestions should be made.

Liz indicated that there should be a faculty meeting or meeting with the whole school to be able to sit with the Dean and ask questions. Jack suggested that questions be carefully crafted so that they involve key concerns among groups of faculty, because there are some individuals inherently opposed to this process.

Jack suggested that there be a meeting of the school in January that will be carefully organized with preselected questions that will go to the Dean and discussion points and we will let him provide input on how this forum should be done.

E. HPER Policy Updates
We are close to being done. By the end of the year the document will be cleaned up. The main thing is that the HPER Administrator document can be crafted pretty quickly so we will not need to worry about this right now.

The policy will be brought back to the Dean for further discussion.
Additional Document Brought to the Table – David Lohrmann passed out a Summary of AHS Recommendations sheet that he presented to the AHS Faculty and was voted on by them. The Academic Council looked over this document and had discussion about it. Overall everyone liked the structure of the degree structures and asked David if we could take a vote to endorse the 5 point service principles for the degree structures.

David Lohrmann – motioned
Lynn Jamieson – 2nd
Vote - Unanimous

L. Fielding moved to adjourn meeting. L. Jamieson 2nd.
Meeting adjourned.