Members Present: Facilitator – J. Raglin
Bob Billingham, David Lohrmann, Michael Reece, Sarah Young, Joanne Klossner, Shu Cole, Jerry Wilkerson, Lynn Jamieson, Liz Shea

1. Approval of Agenda
   L. Jamieson- motioned
   S. Cole – 2nd
   All in Favor – Unanimous

2. Approval of Minutes from April 2, 2010
   L. Jamieson – motioned
   S. Cole - 2nd
   All in Favor – Unanimous

3. Standing Committee Reports

   A. Undergraduate Studies Committee: J. Wilkerson
      ➢ The Gen Ed committee met and discussed what would be required of the courses and the evaluation system to be implemented.
      ➢ There will be a section on demographics of the student because this will be collected university wide for general purposes. There will be a section on Gen Ed, a section on outcomes and there will also be a section on promotion and tenure questions, meaning specific criteria for promotion under the different areas. There will be material and questions to allow faculty members can make their own selection of a module to add to their evaluation. Some review data will be aggregated but not by individual instructors. Specific questions that will be assessed across Gen Ed courses for the purposes of accreditation.

   B. Graduate Studies Committee: No Report

   C. Budgetary Affairs Committee: L. Jamieson
      ➢ Will meet Monday and begin process of looking at the Strategic Plan. Our charge is to spend $250,000.
      ➢ Also the Dean asked for a budget item that would compare the allocation to our current departments, previous budget allocations to what is in the proposal for the new school, just to provide information and comments so we should be able to get that out through the constituents.
The Dean will be at the meeting to give a budget update report so he could probably provide some information on that so that it can be available.

We will spend 45 minutes on the strategic plan, then we will have another meeting to continue our work. We are concentrating on 9 priorities our of the 11, because 2 of the 11 already have line items but the research where the SRP is and one other that has a line item active.

D. Bloomington Faculty Council: J. Raglin

Two items of significance, one being the proposal to revise the campus calendar. They are proposing to add three days to the beginning of the semester, then add two days to the Fall break time in October. They will leave the Thanksgiving break at 3 days, and then they will come closer to the same number of class days in the fall as there are in the spring.

The other issue discussed was reorganizing film and TV, communication arts departments.

MRE committee gave an initial report which was basically providing everyone their working document about their charges and so on, apprising the fact that the committee will be active.

4. Old Business

A. Academic Appointments Policy: J. Wilkerson

Jerry sent out a notice to the Academic Specialists and to the Chairs and Supervisors and asked that what they do is if they have not been evaluated, that they do so this month. She also asked that each Academic Specialist meet with his/her Supervisor and agree upon what the goals they have are for next year and that it be agreed upon and signed by both the Supervisor and the Academic Specialist. She asked the department Chairs to submit the job descriptions of the people who are Academic Specialists as well as any and all areas that they might use as an evaluation, things they might evaluate because these positions can vary considerably and this information will be used to create criteria for evaluation after the probationary period.

Plan for review and vote on the Dean’s Report to the Board of Trustees on Transitioning HPER to a School of Public Health: J. Raglin

Since last week we have asked that the departments vote or move toward a vote on the Dean’s transition document and the plan we had come up with is that we had chosen the 3 areas for non-binding votes to see where things were at in terms of the new degrees, the name and the reorganization. Once you get past that, to vote on the entire document, one that would be reflected here then finally voted on.

Two departments have met and the third department is scheduled to meet.

What has transpired is as follows:

AHS – Motion for School of Public Health name – 14 Yes/ 2 Abstain
2nd Vote – SPH at Bloomington – 15 Yes/ 2 Abstain
3rd Vote – In favor of structure in report – 12 yes/5 against

- In terms of degrees – Ph. D. in Health Behavior (keep) and create new degrees was Unanimous – 15 Yes/2 Abstain/0 Opposed
- Didn’t vote on entire document due to revisions that will take place on document overall. (Kinesiology)
- J. Raglin suggested that we have to coordinate this if all changes we are going to send to the Dean and find out whether they will be integrated or not.
- J. Raglin also stated that we have to figure out a process very quickly or efficiently, so that we know what document we are getting in the end.
- The Dean wants the departments to vote on the blanket document. This could create problems in terms of having to examine line by line.
- The Kinesiology meeting was held on Monday, April 5th. J. Raglin reported that they started on degree programs, but the meeting disintegrated at this point. The discussion evolved into talks about the document as a whole instead of specific areas. There was immediate focus on the budget.
- The vote took place for the entire document. It was: 5 yes/ 4 abstain/ 27 against
- J. Raglin indicated that they were going to ask their faculty to participate actively in the sessions that are next Friday, to hear, discuss their issues and encourage them to revisit this and revote.
- RPTS did meet but did not vote. There was more discussion on how they would approach the document.
- RPTS was to make comments on April 12th so that they can be prepared to vote on April 14th.
- J. Raglin will bring up issues and concerns to the Dean that were discussed in the AC meeting today.
- It was also suggested by L. Jamieson, that since there seems to be a lot of issues around the budget, that as part of the next BAC meeting that the meeting is extended out and the 2nd half of the meeting be an open forum, inviting faculty and staff to attend and address budget issues that they may have with the Dean. The majority of the AC members were in agreement with having the open forum to discuss budget issues and J. Raglin indicated that he would suggest it to the dean to see if he would agree to do it.

Meeting Adjourned – 2:30 p.m.